Application No. Applicant(s) 10/720.743 CORRELL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Venkat Perungavoor 2132 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Venkat Perungayoor. (3) (2) Ameet Patel. (4)____. Date of Interview: 18 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 2002/0196787 to Rajan. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the features of Claim 1. The Examiner agreed that the collective packet of Rajan does not include original headers as required by the instant invention, but rather strips off the headers. Further regarding Claim 8, the Applicant discussed distinction between the packet being formed within a time interval and the Rajan's traffic characteristics. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.